

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,629	08/28/2003	Nino Silvestro	LEEE 2 00306	7501	
7590 12/06/2004			EXAMINER		
Robert V. Vic Fay, Sharpe, Fa			KERNS, KEVIN P		
Minnich & Mcl			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH	Avenue, 7th Floor 44114-2579		1725		
ŕ			DATE MAIL ED: 12/04/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 12/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	MICH
,	10/650,629	SILVESTRO, NINO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. .136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 / 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mai	tters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
Disposition of Claims		5. 11, 400 0.0. 210.	
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		,
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. Sec. § 119	a) accepted or b) objetorized or b) objetorized or abeyared or abeyared if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d). 152.
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	120204

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademark TEFLON has been noted in this application (3rd line from the end of page 2 of the preliminary amendment of June 7, 2004). It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 20 is objected to because the trademark TEFLON appears in the 2nd line of the claim (also see paragraph 1 above). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-13, and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Latvis et al. (US 5,734,148) in view of Radtke (US 2004/0178182).

Art Unit: 1725

Latvis et al. disclose a retention means for side panels for a welding machine, in which the welding machine 1 includes a base 3 having a floor 29 and a plurality of slots 44; a welding power supply 17 mounted to the base; an enclosure (housing defining a cavity and opening) attached to the base; two removable side panels 23,25 (doors, or hanger members) having first and second ends and provided with sealing gasket 70 (protector); and track members (horizontal ledges 39,43 having respective vertical lips 41,45) mounted to the housing, such that the track members (39,43) are provided with two pairs of notches (slots 47,49) adapted to receive tabs/clips on respective doors/panels (abstract; column 1, lines 40-67; column 2, lines 1-4 and 42-67; column 3, lines 1-67; column 4, lines 1-17; and Figures 1-11). Latvis et al. do not disclose that two hooks are connected to one or more of the door(s)/panel(s).

However, Radtke discloses a welding-type machine enclosure with removable panels, in which the removable doors (side panels 12a,12b) are provided with hooks (hinge tangs 38) that are removably placed in slots 34, such that the removable doors provided with hooks are advantageous for allowing one or more of the doors to be removed and reassembled at various angles with respect to the welder housing (abstract; paragraphs [0005]-[0008] and [0017]-[0037]; and Figures 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the retention means for side panels for a welding machine, as disclosed by Latvis et al., by adding the removable doors provided with hooks, as taught by Radtke, in order to allow for one or more of the doors to be

Art Unit: 1725

removed and reassembled at various angles with respect to the welder housing (Radtke; abstract; and paragraphs [0005]-[0008]).

5. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Latvis et al. (US 5,734,148) in view of Radtke (US 2004/0178182), as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Dean (US 6,095,574).

Latvis et al. (in view of Radtke) disclose and/or suggest the features of independent claims 1 and 10 above. Neither Latvis et al. nor Radtke specifically discloses a lock for the door.

However, Dean discloses a computer enclosure locking mechanism, in which the locking mechanism 12 is attached to an end panel 14 (door) and includes a padlock 17 with a lockbar 15 placed through standoff members 34 (tab having through holes, or lockbar clearance holes 36, extending from the end panel), a bracket 30 having a latchpin retaining surface 32 for holding a releasably secured latchpin 70 (latch) and spring 90 held in a compressed state by padlock 17, such that the latchpin 70 (latch) is locked in a closed position when enabled (latchpin 70 placed in lockbar clearance holes 36), but unlocked in an open position when disabled (latchpin 70 removed from lockbar clearance holes 36), with the locking device being advantageous for preventing unauthorized access to internal components of the enclosure (abstract; column 1, lines 5-67; column 2, lines 28-67; column 3, lines 1-51; and Figures 1-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the retention means for side panels for a

Art Unit: 1725

Page 5

welding machine, as disclosed by Latvis et al., by adding the removable doors provided with hooks, as taught by Radtke, in order to allow for one or more of the doors to be removed and reassembled at various angles with respect to the welder housing, and by further adding the enclosure locking device taught by Dean, in order to prevent unauthorized access to internal components of the enclosure (Dean; column 1, lines 5-29).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Kyle and Miller et al. references are also cited in PTO-892.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1725

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin P. Kerns Fern Kenny 12/2/04 Examiner Art Unit 1725

KPK kpk

December 2, 2004